

Kingsway Regional School District Patricia Calandro, Chief Academic Officer
Robert Rosenheim, Data and Assessment Supervisor

## NJ's Statewide Assessment

- 2017 marks the $3^{\text {rd }}$ administration of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) and the first opportunity to compare year-to-year results as the following slides will show.
- Students took PARCC English Language Arts and Literacy Assessments (ELA/L) in grades 3-11.
- Students took PARCC Mathematics Assessments in grades 3-8 and End of Course Assessments in Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II.
- Student performance tied to teacher evaluation (30\%) in ELA 7 \& 8 and MATH 8
- Multiple pathways to graduation for high school (excluding current $9^{\text {th }}$ grade and beyond)


## The Performance Levels

PARCC uses five performance levels that delineate the knowledge, skills, and practices students are able to demonstrate:

| Level 1: Not Yet Meeting | Level 2: <br> Partially Meeting | Level 3: <br> Approaching Expectations | Level 4: Meeting Expectations | Level 5: Exceeding Expectations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expectations | Expectations |  |  |  |
| 650-699 | 700-724 | 725-749 | 750 - vary | Ranges Vary |

## Kingsway's <br> PARCC Participation Rates



Spring 2015
Spring 2016
Spring 2017

## Comparison of Kingsway's Spring 2015, Spring 2016, \& Spring 2017 PARCC Administrations (ELA - Percentages)

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| 7 | 5\% | 4\% | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=13 \end{aligned}$ | 12\% | 10\% | $\begin{aligned} & 7.8 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=37 \end{aligned}$ | 33\% | 21\% | $\begin{aligned} & 12.9 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=61 \end{aligned}$ | 37\% | 46\% | $\begin{aligned} & 35.3 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=167 \end{aligned}$ | 14\% | 19\% | $\begin{aligned} & 41.2 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=195 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | 10\% | 7\% | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=19 \end{aligned}$ | 16\% | 9\% | $\begin{aligned} & 7.4 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=31 \end{aligned}$ | 25\% | 20\% | $\begin{aligned} & 18.2 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=76 \end{aligned}$ | 42\% | 47\% | $\begin{aligned} & 49.3 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=206 \end{aligned}$ | 7\% | 17\% | $\begin{aligned} & 20.6 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=86 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | 9\% | 9\% | $\begin{aligned} & 8.8 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=40 \end{aligned}$ | 17\% | 17\% | $\begin{gathered} 12 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=55 \end{gathered}$ | 28\% | 29\% | $\begin{gathered} 28 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=128 \end{gathered}$ | 38\% | 36\% | $\begin{aligned} & 41.1 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=188 \end{aligned}$ | 7\% | 9\% | $\begin{aligned} & 10.1 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=46 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | 20\% | 27\% | $\begin{aligned} & 26.5 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=108 \end{aligned}$ | 17\% | 16\% | $\begin{aligned} & 18.7 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=76 \end{aligned}$ | 23\% | 23\% | $\begin{aligned} & 22.4 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=91 \end{aligned}$ | 32\% | 28\% | $\begin{aligned} & 21.9 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=89 \end{aligned}$ | 7\% | 7\% | $\begin{aligned} & 10.6 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=43 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11* | 17\% | 23\% | $\begin{aligned} & 30.4 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=80 \end{aligned}$ | 15\% | 18\% | $\begin{aligned} & 29.3 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=77 \end{aligned}$ | 23\% | 28\% | $\begin{aligned} & 25.1 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=66 \end{aligned}$ | 33\% | 31\% | $\begin{aligned} & 15.2 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=40 \end{aligned}$ | 11\% | 1\% | $\begin{gathered} 0 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=0 \end{gathered}$ |

## Comparison of Kingsway's 2015 to 2017 PARCC Administrations English Language Arts

|  | \% Change in Level 1 and Level 2 |  |  |  | \% Change in Level 4 and Level 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kingsway Regional School District |  | State of NJ |  | Kingsway Regional School District |  | State of NJ |  |
| Grade 7 | 1 | 6.5\% | 1 | 5.0\% | - | 25.5\% | 1 | 7.6\% |
| Grade 8 |  | 14.1\% | $\downarrow$ | 5.5\% | 1 | 20.9\% | 1 | 7.5\% |
| Grade 9 | $\downarrow$ | 5.2\% | 1 | 11.0\% | T | 6.2\% | - | 11.7\% |
| Grade 10 |  | 8.2\% | 1 | 8.8\% | 1 | 6.5\% | 1 | 9.7\% |
| Grade 11* |  | 27.7\% |  | 3.7\% | 1 | 28.8\% | $\downarrow$ | 2.6\% |

*Grade 11 does not include students who took an AP test.
Notes: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

- An up arrow indicates an increase of the \% change from the previous year where a down arrow shows a decrease of the \% change from the previous year.


## Analysis of Kingsway's PARCC ELA Performance by Cohort

## (Mean Scale Score of Cohort)

$\leadsto$ Class of $2018 \sim$ Class of $2019 \sim$ Class of 2020



## Comparison of Kingsway's

 2015 \& 2016 PSAT Administrations - ELA (Percentage of Students Meeting/Exceeding Benchmark)```
\(■ K R S D(\) Fall 2015) \(\quad\) KRSD (Fall 2016)
```



## Comparison of Kingsway's Spring 2015, Spring 2016, \& Spring 2017 PARCC Administrations (MATH - Percentages)

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| 7 | 2\% | 4\% | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=15 \end{aligned}$ | 16\% | 11\% | $\begin{aligned} & 9.9 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=47 \end{aligned}$ | 38\% | 35\% | $\begin{aligned} & 24.2 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=115 \end{aligned}$ | 40\% | 40\% | $\begin{aligned} & 51.5 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=245 \end{aligned}$ | 4\% | 10\% | $\begin{aligned} & 11.3 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=54 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8* | 21\% | 15\% | $\begin{aligned} & 11.2 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=31 \end{aligned}$ | 35\% | 25\% | $\begin{aligned} & 16.6 \% \\ & N=46 \end{aligned}$ | 33\% | 39\% | $\begin{gathered} 35 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=97 \end{gathered}$ | 11\% | 22\% | $\begin{aligned} & 36.8 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=102 \end{aligned}$ | 0\% | 0\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=1 \end{gathered}$ |
| ALG I* | 5\% | 6\% | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{gathered}$ | 23\% | 12\% | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=44 \end{gathered}$ | 36\% | 30\% | $\begin{aligned} & 26.5 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=117 \end{aligned}$ | 35\% | 50\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 53.5\% } \\ & \mathrm{N}=236 \end{aligned}$ | 0\% | 2\% | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=22 \end{gathered}$ |
| GEO | 9\% | 3\% | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=17 \end{aligned}$ | 33\% | 22\% | $\begin{aligned} & 25.4 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=95 \end{aligned}$ | 33\% | 47\% | $\begin{aligned} & 40.6 \% \\ & N=152 \end{aligned}$ | 24\% | 27\% | $\begin{aligned} & 28.6 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=107 \end{aligned}$ | 2\% | 1\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=3 \end{gathered}$ |
| ALG II | 17\% | 13\% | $\begin{aligned} & 27.8 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=93 \end{aligned}$ | 29\% | 28\% | $\begin{aligned} & 19.4 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=65 \end{aligned}$ | 27\% | 24\% | $\begin{aligned} & 24.5 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=82 \end{aligned}$ | 27\% | 34\% | $\begin{aligned} & 26.3 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=88 \end{aligned}$ | 1\% | 1\% | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=7 \end{aligned}$ |

[^0]
## Comparison of Kingsway's 2015 to 2017 PARCC Administrations Mathematics

|  | \% Change in Level 1 and Level 2 |  |  |  | \% Change in Level 4 and Level 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kingsway Regional School District |  | State of NJ |  | Kingsway Regional School District |  | State of NJ |  |
| Grade 7 | 1 | 4.9\% | $\downarrow$ | 2.1\% | - | 18.8\% |  | 2.8\% |
| Grade 8* | 1 | 28.2\% | 1 | 3.8\% | T | 26.2\% |  | 4.2\% |
| Algebra ${ }^{*}$ | 1 | 13\% |  | 4.8\% |  | 23.5\% |  | 5.4\% |
| Geometry | $\checkmark$ | 12.1\% |  | 0.4\% | - | 3.4\% |  | 2.7\% |
| Algebra II | 1 | 1.2\% | $\checkmark$ | 9.0\% | 1 | 0.4\% |  | 7.4\% |

*Some students in grade 8 participated in the PARCC Algebra I assessment in place of the $8^{\text {th }}$ grade Math assessment. Thus, PARCC Math 8 outcomes are not representative of grade 8 performance as a whole.
Notes: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

- An up arrow indicates an increase of the \% change from the previous year where a down arrow shows a decrease of the $\%$ change from the previous year.


## Analysis of Kingsway's PARCC MATH Performance by Cohort

## (Mean Scale Score of Cohort)

$\leadsto$ Cohort $1 \sim$ Cohort $2 \sim$ Cohort $3 \sim$ Cohort $4 \sim$ Cohort 5


|  | PARCC 2015 | PARCC 2016 | PARCC 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort 1 | 733 | 730 | 721 |
|  | 725 | 744 | 731 |
| $ـ$ Cohort 3 | 754 | 755 | 748 |
| $\approx$ Cohort 4 | 760 | 770 | 759 |
| $\approx$ Cohort 5 | 739 | 730 | 747 |

## Comparison of Kingsway's

## 2015 \& 2016 PSAT Administrations - MATH

## (Percentage of Students Meeting/Exceeding Benchmark)

$\square K R S D($ Fall 2015) $\quad$ KRSD (Fall 2016)


## Subgroup Comparison of Kingsway's 2016 and 2017 PARCC Administrations - ELA

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  | \% Difference $>=$ Level 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 44.8\% | $\begin{aligned} & 34.6 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=109 \end{aligned}$ | 24.8\% | $\begin{gathered} 26.6 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=90 \end{gathered}$ | 21\% | $\begin{gathered} 21.8 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=76 \end{gathered}$ | 8\% | $\begin{aligned} & 14.2 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=52 \end{aligned}$ | 1.4\% | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=10 \end{aligned}$ | +7.6\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 29\% | $\begin{gathered} 25.8 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=58 \end{gathered}$ | 16.8\% | $\begin{gathered} 22.6 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=49 \end{gathered}$ | 26.2\% | $\begin{gathered} 23.6 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=54 \end{gathered}$ | 25\% | $\begin{gathered} 23 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=50 \end{gathered}$ | 3\% | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=11 \end{gathered}$ | No Change |
| African American | 21\% | $\begin{aligned} & 23.2 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=57 \end{aligned}$ | 21.8\% | $\begin{aligned} & 18.8 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=45 \end{aligned}$ | 21.2\% | $\begin{gathered} 22.6 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=55 \end{gathered}$ | 31.6\% | $\begin{gathered} 26.6 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=64 \end{gathered}$ | 4.8\% | $\begin{aligned} & 8.2 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=20 \end{aligned}$ | -1.6\% |
| Hispanic | 25.2\% | $\begin{gathered} 23.2 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=27 \end{gathered}$ | 16.8\% | $\begin{gathered} 16 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=18 \end{gathered}$ | 25.4\% | $\begin{aligned} & 18.6 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=23 \end{aligned}$ | 27\% | $\begin{gathered} 32.8 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=39 \end{gathered}$ | 6.2\% | $\begin{aligned} & 9.4 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=11 \end{aligned}$ | +9\% |
| Asian | 3\% | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=4 \end{aligned}$ | 8.6\% | $\begin{gathered} 18.4 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=7 \end{gathered}$ | 22.6\% | $\begin{aligned} & 6.2 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=6 \end{aligned}$ | 39\% | $\begin{gathered} 34 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=30 \end{gathered}$ | 26.8\% | $\begin{gathered} 38 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=40 \end{gathered}$ | +6.2\% |
| White | 13\% | $\begin{aligned} & 12.8 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=168 \end{aligned}$ | 13.4\% | $\begin{aligned} & 15.2 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=206 \end{aligned}$ | 24.4\% | $\begin{aligned} & 22.2 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=331 \end{aligned}$ | 39.2\% | $\begin{aligned} & 33.4 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=543 \end{aligned}$ | 10.2\% | $\begin{aligned} & 16.1 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=292 \end{aligned}$ | +0.1\% |

[^1]
## Subgroup Comparison of Kingsway's 2016 and 2017 PARCC Administrations - MATH

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  | \% Difference $>=$ Level 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 29.4\% | $\begin{gathered} 27.6 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=74 \end{gathered}$ | 37.6\% | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=109 \end{gathered}$ | 23.6\% | $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=67 \end{gathered}$ | 8.6\% | $\begin{aligned} & 14.4 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=45 \end{aligned}$ | 0.6\% | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=4 \end{aligned}$ | +6.6\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 14.8\% | $\begin{gathered} 20.2 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=43 \end{gathered}$ | 26.4\% | $\begin{gathered} 27.4 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=59 \end{gathered}$ | 41.6\% | $\begin{gathered} 31 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=67 \end{gathered}$ | 17\% | $\begin{gathered} 20.6 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=47 \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=2 \end{aligned}$ | +4.4\% |
| African American | 13\% | $\begin{aligned} & 19.6 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=41 \end{aligned}$ | 30\% | $\begin{gathered} 25.6 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=57 \end{gathered}$ | 37.6\% | $\begin{gathered} 33 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=75 \end{gathered}$ | 19.4\% | $\begin{gathered} 21.4 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=50 \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=1 \end{gathered}$ | +2.4\% |
| Hispanic | 13.4\% | $\begin{aligned} & 18.2 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=18 \end{aligned}$ | 27\% | $\begin{gathered} 23.4 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=27 \end{gathered}$ | 35\% | $\begin{gathered} 31.2 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=35 \end{gathered}$ | 24.4\% | $\begin{gathered} 25.4 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=30 \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=2 \end{aligned}$ | +2.6\% |
| Asian | 3.4\% | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=0 \end{aligned}$ | 4.4\% | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=4 \end{aligned}$ | 22.8\% | $\begin{aligned} & 17.4 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=11 \end{aligned}$ | 58.2\% | $\begin{gathered} 66.4 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=53 \end{gathered}$ | 11.4\% | $\begin{gathered} 11.2 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=12 \end{gathered}$ | +8\% |
| White | 7.4\% | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ \mathrm{~N}=117 \end{gathered}$ | 18\% | $\begin{aligned} & 14.4 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=203 \end{aligned}$ | 35.6\% | $\begin{aligned} & 30.4 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=433 \end{aligned}$ | 36.4\% | $\begin{gathered} 41.8 \% \\ 633 \end{gathered}$ | 2.6\% | $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \% \\ & \mathrm{~N}=71 \end{aligned}$ | +7.7\% |

[^2]
## PARCC Mean Scale Score by Sending District: ELA 2017

774773772


# PARCC Mean Scale Score by Sending District: Math 2017 



## Goals of the PARCC Conversation

$\checkmark$ To inform the conversations of all stakeholders
$\checkmark$ To identify strengths and gaps that exist in curriculum and programming (over time)
$\checkmark$ To guide professional support and learning to support instruction

## Continuing to Support Student Progress toward Proficiency: ELA

- Targeted, small group supports for students during the day - middle school push-in; high school additional class in schedule
- High school focus on Writing Across the Curriculum as a school-level goal (District Professional Development Plan)
- ELA writing articulation 7-12 focus with support of instructional supervisor
- Addition of an ESL teacher in the district and supports for Level 1 \& 2 ESL students


## Continuing to Support Student Progress toward Proficiency: Math

- Middle School: Restructured math 7\&8 support during the day to ensure specific targeting of student needs and progress monitoring
- High School: Working this year to evaluate current math support courses to ensure students' individual needs are being met; addition of a progress monitoring tool
- Middle School: Focus on math reasoning (critical thinking) as a school-level goal (District Professional Development Plan)
- Working with sending districts to establish a placement assessment as an additional measure for students to ensure appropriate placement at the $7^{\text {th }}$ grade level.


## Continued Development of Our District Culture

- Valuing All Learners
- Continue to work to embed a District Culture of Differentiated Instruction
- Continued development of SPED programs and inclusive career electives
- Use of Data to Inform Decision Making
- Continuation of Harvard's Data Wise Process into professional learning teams
- Measurable District and School Goals (Professional Development Plans, Vision 2019)
- Opportunities for Professional Learning
"The difference in teacher
effectiveness is the single largest factor affecting academic growth of populations of students."

Sanders (2000)

- Daily Schedule to Facilitate Professional Collaboration
- Various avenues available for professional learning tied to our District Professional Development Plan


## PARCC Resources

## HOME

## Kingsway Regional School District

## COMMITTED TO EXCELLENCE

## ASSESSMENTS

Home
Assessment Calendar
Common Summative Assessments

## Grading at Kingsway

Graduation Requirements

## NJ Biology Competency Test

## NJASK Science

## PARCC

PSAT \& NMSQT

Home / Academics / Assessments

## PARCC

The State of New Jersey joined the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Career PARCC in 2010. PARCC's mission is to develop common assessments that effectively evaluate student performance in mathematics and English/language arts (ELA) in accordance with the Common Core State Standards.

Independent Studies Reaffirm PARCC as One of Nation's Premier Tests By David Connerty-Marin, parcconline.org, Feb. 12, 2016
Two recently released independent studies found the PARCC assessment to be one of the strongest systems to assess student readiness for college and careers. The studies, conducted by the Thomas B. Fordham Institute (elementary and middle school) and the Human Resources Research Organization (HumRRO, high school) are just the latest in a string of independent studies that have found PARCC to be a national leader in quality assessments. To read the article click here.


## QUESTIONS?


[^0]:    *Approximately 30,000 New Jersey students in grade 8 participated in the PARCC Algebra I assessment. Thus, PARCC Math 8 outcomes are not representative of grade 8 performance as a whole. **Level 4 and Level 5 is an indication a student is on pace to be college and career ready.
    Notes: Data shown is preliminary. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

[^1]:    Notes: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

[^2]:    Notes: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

